CVE Board Meeting, February 2, 2022

Members of CVE Board in Attendance
☐ Ken Armstrong, EWA-Canada, An Intertek Company
☒ Tod Beardsley, Rapid7
☒ Chris Coffin, The MITRE Corporation (MITRE At-Large)
☐ Jessica Colvin
☐ Mark Cox, Red Hat, Inc.
☒ William Cox, Synopsys, Inc.
☒ Patrick Emsweller, Cisco Systems, Inc.
☐ Jay Gazlay, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA)
☐ Tim Keanini, Cisco Systems, Inc.
☒ Kent Landfield, McAfee Enterprise
☒ Scott Lawler, LP3
☒ Chris Levendis, CVE Program (CVE Board Moderator)
☒ Art Manion, CERT/CC (Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University)
☐ Pascal Meunier, CERIAS/Purdue University
☐ Ken Munro, Pen Test Partners LLP
☐ Tom Millar, Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA)
☒ Chandan Nandakumaraiah, Palo Alto Networks
☒ Kathleen Noble, Intel Corporation
☒ Lisa Olson, Microsoft
☒ Shannon Sabens, CrowdStrike
☒ Takayuki Uchiyama, Panasonic Corporation
☐ David Waltermire, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)
☒ James “Ken” Williams, Broadcom Inc.

Members of the CVE Team in Attendance
☐ Jo Bazar (Secretariat/MITRE)
☒ Kris Britton (Secretariat/MITRE)
☒ Christine Deal (Secretariat/MITRE)

Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2:00-2:05</td>
<td>Introductions and Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:05-3:35</td>
<td>Open discussion items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:35-3:55</td>
<td>Review of Action items (see attached excel file)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:55-4:00</td>
<td>Wrap-up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Actions items from today’s Board Meeting

See attached Excel spreadsheet for open action items from prior meetings (CVE Board Meeting 2Feb22–Agenda and Action items.xls)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02.02.01</td>
<td>Draft dispute policy for CVE Program Community of Roots and send to Community of Roots for review</td>
<td>Chris L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.02.02</td>
<td>Reach out to Google and GitHub to gauge their interest in having a discussion on incorporating fuzzing results into CVE</td>
<td>Chris L. / Secretariat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Open Discussion Items**

- **Transition Working Group (TWG) Proposal to Present at BlackHat (Lisa Olson)**
  - There is a need to let people know the CVE Program’s progress
  - TWG proposes that we conduct a presentation or panel discussion at BlackHat (August 2022) to communicate everything that has changed within the CVE Program
  - Would be ideal to bring together different points of view
  - Lisa talked to Kimberly Price, a member of the BlackHat Review Board, and she said BlackHat usually hates panel discussions because they are boring
  - Next step is for Lisa and Shannon Sabens to meet with Kimberly Price to brainstorm and come up with the right proposal to get approval
  - Call for papers is open from February 7 until April 4

- **Working Group Updates**
  - **SPWG**
    - Discussed ADP Pilot—there are now two pilots (SSVC and the references pilot)
    - Using the references pilot as the initial critical test of CVE Services from an ADP perspective
    - Discussed the behavioral properties of the pilot, focusing on service deployment with regards to the two ADP pilots
  - **AWG**
    - Group has been focused on refining behavior requirements of ADPs ([CVE Services Authorized Data Publisher (ADP) Behavioral Properties - Google Docs](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1OqHREyUz6jXv7kIr72ZxQaY_6yGZ_6JmQJi3JzJ5278/edit))
  - **QWG**
    - Helping with the up-conversion task to make sure all JSON 4 entries up-convert to JSON 5 without validation issues.
    - Once the group gets through the next batch of issues, there should only be about 300 records, and most of those are due to spelling errors and typos.
  - **TWG**
    - Discussed the proposal to present at BlackHat
    - Also discussed ADP Reference pilot—TWG feels it is important to land that as soon as possible after APIs are up so that the references can be created and maintained.
- Secretariat could continue to “break the rules” and allow web scrapers to add references to the records as a temporary (90-day max) workaround if the Board approves.
  - **Decision:** Board approved (13 out of 13 members on the call at the time voted YES)
  - **CNACWG**
    - Results of survey from fall summit ([https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1cb-uccA6N1OqISICG7-tS7sa4I9Pv4GiMAuTYRU-n9E/edit](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1cb-uccA6N1OqISICG7-tS7sa4I9Pv4GiMAuTYRU-n9E/edit)) were sent to the private Board mailing list (16 respondents).
    - NVD presented at the last meeting about CVMAP and how it interacts with CVE Program.
    - Beginning to plan the next CVE Summit, which will be held virtually on April 7.
  - **OCWG**
    - Had a pre-production meeting for CVE Services podcast on February 2
- **Website Feedback Regarding CVE Name (Shannon Sabens)**
  - Users have provided feedback via cve.org regarding the CVE name (asking what CVE is and what it means)
  - The Board decided in 2021 to start de-emphasizing the “Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures” definition of the acronym (CVE) and just use only “CVE.”
  - This decision was never officially communicated or announced
  - The glossary on cve.org defines “CVE” as “ambiguous.”
  - Proposal from OCWG: Update glossary to read, “The three-letter name for the CVE Program. (Note: CVE was formerly an acronym for “Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures,” but the CVE Board voted in 2021 to use only the three-letter name CVE as the official name moving forward.) See CVE Program.”
  - Other Board members counter-proposed that the glossary should be updated with: “Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures”
  - **Decision:** Board voted to update the glossary with “Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures” (10 out of the 13 members on the call at the time voted YES)
- **CVE Program Dispute Policy (Chris Levendis)**
  - The Community of Roots has discussed the dispute process and would like to adjudicate disputes at the lowest possible level; if needed, the issue would then be raised up to next level root; if still not adequate, the Community of Roots would make a final decision.
  - Chris will draft the policy, have the Community of Roots review, and then it will be submitted to the Board for final approval.
- **CVE Board Meeting call-in platform – Chris Levendis/Christine Deal**
  - The January 19 meeting piloted Zoom as a meeting platform due to previous challenges and limitations with Microsoft Teams
  - One Board member was unable to access the meeting via Zoom
    - Secretariat will work with that Board member to resolve the situation and then re-issue future meetings using Zoom
- **Open Discussion**
  - Chris asked the Board to please email the Secretariat with any topics they would like brought up with Community of Roots so it can be put on the agenda for the next meeting (February 16)
  - Incorporating Fuzzing results into CVE
    - Secretariat will reach out to Google and GitHub to gauge their interest in having a discussion on this topic.
    - Who from the Board would like to participate? Kent and Chandan
FYI, there is a Senate hearing next week on Log4j

Next CVE Board Meetings

- Wednesday, February 16, 2022, 9:00am-11:00am (EST)
- Wednesday, March 2, 2022, 2:00pm-4:00pm (EST)
- Wednesday, March 16, 2022, 9:00am-11:00am (EDT)
- Wednesday, March 30, 2022, 2:00pm-4:00pm (EDT)
- Wednesday, April 13, 2022, 9:00am-11:00am (EDT)
- Wednesday, April 27, 2022, 2:00pm-4:00pm (EDT)

Open Discussion Items (to be discussed at future meetings)

See attached Excel spreadsheet (CVE Board Meeting 2Feb22– Agenda and Action items.xls)

CVE Board Recordings

The CVE Board meeting recording archives are in transition to a new platform. Once the new platform is ready, the Board recordings will be readily available to CVE Board Members. Until then, to obtain a recording of a CVE Board Meeting, please reach out to CVE Program Secretariat (cve-prog-secretariat@mitre.org).